

Claimant's Observations (by marked paragraphs)

MAPPA Executive Summary
M J Kirk

MAPPA Form E – MAPP Meeting Minutes Executive Summary

Notice

A

This MAPP Meeting Minutes Executive Summary will not contain details of any individual agency risk assessment or Risk Management Plan. Where a third party wishes to receive a copy of such a document/report they will need to apply directly to the individual agency.

1: Offender Information

ViSOR reference: 09/0189441
Family name: KIRK
First name: Maurice
Date of birth: 12/03/1945
Gender: Male
Ethnicity: White: British
PNC number: 95/92486Y

2: Referring Agency and Reason for Referral to a MAPP Meeting

Referral was by South Wales Police following a recommendation from the police Independent Advisory Group. This was taken to the routine MAPPA Screening meeting held in the Vale of Glamorgan and this meeting agreed that a referral to level 3 be made.

A.

Citing from Wikipedia:

1. *Level Three* are sometimes called the "critical few". These are offenders posing the highest possible level of risk to the public and normally necessitates a specific case conference to pool unusual agency resources and ensure a strategically coordinated risk management plan. These might be predatory sex offenders, recidivist arsonists, extremely violent offenders, dangerously [mentally ill](#) offenders, domestic terrorists or people with dangerous [personality disorders](#). At each MAPPA meeting, agencies have to share often confidential information, and will in many cases adopt a press strategy.
2. [Services](#) are based in [psychiatric hospitals](#) or in the [community](#). Diagnoses are made by [psychiatrists](#) or [clinical psychologists](#) using various methods, often relying on observation and questioning in interviews. Treatments are provided by various [mental health professionals](#). [Psychotherapy](#) and [psychiatric medication](#) are two major treatment options as are [social](#) interventions, [peer support](#) and [self-help](#). In some cases there may be [involuntary detention](#) and [involuntary treatment](#) where legislation allows.

3. The Cardiff County Court Judgment of 30th November 2010 ruled disclosure but what was actually disclosed by the South Wales' solicitors?
4. A purported summary of eight monthly summaries of meetings, held between 8th June and 17th December 2009, written eight months later, on 24th August 2010!
5. It discloses no information as to when or what was before the police 'Independent Advisory Group' instigating the arrest of the Claimant by armed police. Nor does it identify the participants in any of the meetings making the suggestion for 'third parties' approaching anyone for scrutiny - equally farcical.
6. For all but one meeting, held in Barry Police Station, they were dominated by Caswell Clinic staff and held in South Wales Police's forensic psychiatric prison, working on erroneous information, partly supplied by the Defendant in the civil action. Did it ring alarm bells with someone?

Referral information:

Maurice John Kirk has a long history with law enforcement agencies with a number of criminal convictions together with a large number of civil actions and complaints being instigated. At present Kirk has just over 100 civil actions pending against South Wales Police focused on a variety of individuals.

B. This led to seriously incorrect criminal convictions published on the psychiatric reports without being corrected, despite repeated interventions by the Claimant at each of his many bail applications.

Kirk is renowned globally for his exploits in a plane in that he crashed his plane in the Atlantic several years ago and was rescued by the US coastguard. To thank the nation he attempted to fly his plane into the ranch of President George Bush. He has been involved in a similar exploit when he wanted to serve a letter on HRH Prince of Wales at Highgrove but his attempts were frustrated.

C. Claimant did nothing of the sort. The United States Authorities accepted he had broken no law whatsoever and was no threat, when he landed outside the restricted zone, surrounding the US President's ranch, in a farmer's field.

Similarly, the Metropolitan Police report of the Claimant wishing to deliver, to His Royal Highness The Prince of Wales, a letter on the appalling state of the Welsh judiciary, was likewise deemed of no threat.

D

In February 2009 Legal Services, the Courts and Dolmans, the solicitors representing South Wales Police, decided that it would be more appropriate to request Chief Constable Wilding to swear an affidavit re the civil actions. Kirk took exception to this in that having been served with the affidavit he stated that the statements made by the Chief Constable were false and that he wished to arrest her. Kirk attended police headquarters and attempted to enter to make an arrest. This was unsuccessful and he was taken to Bridgend Police Station where he made a complaint against the officers who spoke with him and the Chief Constable. A series of protective measures were then instigated surrounding the Chief Constable with close protection being provided for all public engagements.

D. It was the Claimant's letter to Barry police of 4th October 2008, never answered, asking for identification and progress in forty odd police incidents that led to the Claimant's abuse of Process Application in November, with a court order by the Chief Constable to disclose.

To this day this has not been done. For, to identify the police at each incident, would allow the Claimant further witnesses for trial of the conspiracy, orchestrated by senior personnel of the South Wales Police force, as well as the Crown Prosecution Service. Paras 14 to 21 of Barbara Wilding's sworn affidavit indicate a reluctance to admit that court cases in Barry Magistrates and other incidents, using covert police surveillance, ever took place.

The Claimant was not 'taken' to Bridgend police station. He drove there and filed a written complaint of falsification of a court document, which was promptly shredded. Following the anticipated conduct of the Welsh police, he filed a private prosecution on the matter, currently in process.

E

In March 2009 Kirk attended Cardiff County Court where he reiterated to the Judge that he would be arresting the Chief Constable of South Wales Police.

E. MJK had that lawful right as does any other member of the public. Bringing private prosecutions in the criminal courts is the only way forward.

F

Further intelligence was gathered regarding Kirk from his open source website, "Flying Vets". On this website were a number of highly concerning issues:

1. A picture of South Wales Police Authority members stating, "well I know where a few of these live."
2. A picture of Maurice Kirk holding a large machine gun with a magazine attached offering this for sale for £4000.00 with live ammunition. This was posted in August 2008 and removed shortly afterwards.
3. A £10000.00 reward is being offered for information relating to the whereabouts lifestyle, registration numbers, family, friends, associates, clubs, schools etc of 25 individuals. Those listed begin with Chief Constable Barbara Wilding followed by a list of serving and retired police officers and staff, members of the community other law enforcement officials. This was posted in April 2009
4. Kirk also details his anti establishment views together with his attendance at G8/G20.

F. All this related to the need to serve witness summonses on police personnel to support proof that court cases took place and that there was a ten year conspiracy of harassment and malicious prosecution.

A preliminary police enquiry of the August 2008 photograph, of the Claimant holding a three legged Jack Russell Terrier and a decommissioned WW2 Lewis machine gun, will have established both gun and the aircraft, to which it was attached, documented in the log books, was already sold to a man who then altered the legal status of the 'gun', but was essential as a prosecution witness to prevent the Claimant being at liberty to press home his now seriously damaging civil claim started in 1993, but blocked since.

G

This intelligence has been further examined and more recently the picture of Kirk brandishing the firearm has been placed on the site three times with comments to the effect, " This will level the playing field at County Court," and " The final solution."

G. Meaning what?

H

South Wales Police are obviously treating this matter very seriously with two key objectives:

- To protect members of the community, police staff and witnesses.
- To investigate criminal activity.

H. Police, Crown Prosecution and HHJ Peter Thomas, Trial judge, all refused disclosure of the obvious investigation now admitted in this MAPPA 'summary of summaries'.

I There are three aspects to this as it proceeds; the criminal investigation, civil process and the risk management. A meeting was held with IAG members on 1st June 2009 where the circumstances of the investigation were relayed to them, one of their recommendations was for Maurice Kirk to be referred for consideration for MAPPA, and the rationale for this was:

1. Kirk has been assessed previously for mental health issues, but at this stage is only being addressed as vulnerable person due to his unpredictable nature.
2. Kirk has a number of previous convictions some for assault and assault police and therefore has the potential to cause serious harm.
3. Kirk is not only pictured with a section 1 firearm, for sale and making threats but also has access to other firearms lawfully held by his wife a vet. Two shotguns and three humane killing firearms.
4. Kirk has already made an attempt to arrest Chief Constable Wilding.
5. South Wales Police suspect that as the civil case draws closer Kirk's behaviour surrounding police/ public witnesses will become more unpredictable.
6. At the point when positive action is taken in relation to Kirk and dependent on the outcome the threat posed to all concerned will be significantly aggravated.

Date of referral: 8/06/2009 (Initial meeting)
(Venue Barry Police Station)

Date of meeting: 9/07/2009 (2nd MAPPA meeting)
20/08/2009 (3rd MAPPA meeting)
22/10/2009 (4th MAPPA meeting)
23/11/2009 (5th MAPPA meeting)
17/12/2009 (Final MAPPA meeting)

Venues of meetings: Initial – Barry Police Station
All subsequent meetings at Caswell Clinic

MAPPA Category: 3

Level of meetings: 3

I. It appears to be an offence or an expensive worry to a policeman and tax payer that he is unable to predict Maurice's thought patterns. Level of meetings, 3, meaning just who, exactly, were sitting around that table?

3. Summary of Meetings

Initial MAPPP Meeting: 8/06/2009

Information was shared between agencies (South Wales Police, South Wales Probation Trust, Community and Forensic Mental Health and Social Services) Information from the psychiatrist who met Mr Kirk following referral from his General Practitioner was also provided to the meeting via forensic mental health staff) The information resulting from the initial MAPP meeting was subsequently shared with Mr Kirk's psychiatrist.

J. Who is Mr Kirk's psychiatrist? This Mr Metters was refused information as he was obviously a plant.

K The machine gun referred to in the initial referral was identified as a Lewis Gun

K. But when? The gun had been sold for over a year as a licensed piece of British registered aircraft clearly displayed in a public museum from August 08 onwards. No wonder the English police refused to have anything to do with it.

L The meeting agreed that Mr Kirk posed a risk to the following:

- Three individuals threatened with direct action to arrest them
- 25 others (police staff both serving and retired, court staff, police authority members) have been named and rewards offered for information about friends and family – it is not known exactly how many are in this group
- 109 + named witnesses at the legal matters due for resolution at Cardiff in January 2010
- MK himself and the wider community due to the perceived firearms risk and the presence of armed protection officers at official events.

L. The list relates to the imminent civil action for damages, due in January 10, with police and welsh courts , in turn, blocking the lawful requests for disclosure of witnesses at each of about 200 incidents .

M The initial risk assessment summary was that Mr Kirk 'poses a risk of serious harm to numerous individuals and the likelihood of this will increase at times of high stress but will be greatest when the legal avenues available to him are exhausted. Direct police action to investigate firearms concerns will also raise the risk to identified victims.'

N The meeting agreed that previous relevant convictions, combined with Mr Kirk's recent behaviour fulfilled the requirements for management as a MAPPA Category 3 case.

O Disclosure to potential victims, Mr Kirk and his family and relevant professionals was discussed and agreed as was referral to the Ministry of Justice Public Protection Unit as a Critical Public Protection Case.

M., N., O. Disclosure to the list given was not done, why not?

P MAPP Meeting of 9/07/2009

Subsequent to the initial meeting, Mr Kirk gained access to SWP Headquarters and asked to see the Chief Constable.

On 30/6/09 Mr Kirk was arrested for the possession of a Section 5 firearm. He was subsequently remanded in custody.

P. MJK obtained access to Chief Constables reception area for an appointment, well inside police HQ, to exchange witness documents by court order, only to be later surrounded by armed police in tin hats carrying CS gas and smoke grenades!

Q MAPP Meeting of 20/08/2009

Mr Kirk's shotgun certificate had been rescinded by the ACC. Firearms had been seized from Mr Kirk's home (some lawfully held). The Lewis gun, after being sold on by Mr Kirk, had been recovered by SWP and was capable of being fired.

Mr Kirk had appeared in court and represented himself. Psychiatric assessment was ordered by the court and subsequently the Judge ordered a 28 day Section 25 Mental Health Act order for Mr Kirk to be assessed at Caswell Clinic

Q. A pack of lies.

1. January 2010 Crown Court heard police evidence MJK never had owned a shot gun or fire arms certificate!
2. All guns at home were lawfully held, where MJK was concerned. If not, why was there no mention of it in the pre-trial disclosure or raised in the trial as further offences?

3. Lewis gun was recovered by English, not Welsh police, the latter refusing to be entangled in the scandal, well aware of its status given by its current owner.

R Mental Health assessment completed and submitted to the court. Further results of tests and opinions awaited.
It is expected that Mr Kirk will return to the prison system where further assessment will be undertaken.
Threats have been made by supporters of Mr Kirk towards Caswell Clinic staff.
Caswell will continue to offer support to Mr Kirk when he returns to the community.
Mr Kirk has disclosed that he has made a living by taking on litigation and exposing technical flaws. He has stated that he cannot afford to lose the current case.
A new risk has been recognised to the patients and staff of Caswell Clinic due to the disclosure of information by Mr Kirk and / or his supporters.

R. "Further results of tests and opinions awaited". Exactly what went before HHJ Bidder QC on 2nd Dec 09, falsified by Dr Williams and Professor Roger Wood in one last ditch attempt to stop the machine gun trial going public?

MAPP Meeting of 23/11/2009

S Mr Kirk has not co-operated with further assessment and court case continues.
Mr Kirk to be informed by HMP Cardiff staff, confirming previous disclosure, of MAPPA status.

S. MJK refused to have further tests, after reading Professor Roger Wood's September 09 letter to Dr Ruth Bagshaw, warning Maurice they were now trying for a Section 41, Broadmoor for life tactic, now Williams had run out of his statutory Section 35 incarceration of twelve weeks in a mental hospital.

MAPP Meeting of 17/12/09

T Outstanding legal actions clarified.
Mr Kirk had spoken to psychiatrist, indicated understanding and approval of the MAPPA process and agreed a further meeting if he was still in prison.
Mr Kirk had been assessed as fit to plead.

Meeting agreed that Mr Kirk no longer required active multi agency conferencing and was therefore to be de-registered from MAPPA as not fitting the criteria for MAPPA Category 3.

T. A complete fairy tale. Since when and by whom? Where is the documentation to support?

U

4. Outline of MAPPA Risk Management Plan – Initial and Revised

Initial risk Management Plan:

- Protect highest risk victims
- Protect victims in general
- Manage risk posed at Royal Courts of Justice
- Make enquiries with Social Services Department Children's Services
- Create Mr Kirk as a Restricted VISOR MAPPA nominal
- Confirm status of Mrs Kirk's firearms permits
- Discuss risk management plan with psychiatrist
- Refer Mr Kirk as a CPPC
- Arrange review MAPPA meeting at convenience of Mr Kirk's psychiatrist

U. Just what is this fabrication? Who is MJK's psychiatrist? Williams spoke in 2nd December 09 Crown Court, when he was no longer his compulsory psychiatrist. So who is it now?

V

Risk Management Plan of 9/07/2009

- Confirm age and whereabouts of Mr Kirk's children
- Enquire with major crime team whether they have considered an application to the CAA for the revocation of Mr Kirk's licence to fly.
- Undertake mental health assessment of Mr Kirk at HMP Cardiff
- Invite Social Services Department to review meeting if appropriate.

W

Risk Management Plan of 20/08/2009

- Supply intelligence pack to mental health social worker
- Invite Social Services Department to next review
- Notify clinical team of all criminal and civil court dates arranged in respect of Mr Kirk
- Review transport arrangements from clinic to court
- Monitor visits to Mr Kirk
- Address media issues surrounding court dates
- Review Community Impact Assessment
- Address security issues at court appearances
- Continue mental health assessment

V., W. None of this was officially made known to the prisoner throughout his seven months in custody. MJK never knew until late autumn he was even on MAPPA and has never been told why he was removed on 17th December 09 whilst still in custody!

X Risk Management Plan of 22/10/2009

- Check with SWP Major Crime Incident Team whether the threat associated with Mr Kirks friends has been investigated
- Advise CPS that they need to prepare to oppose any application for bail made by Mr Kirk in order to allow the further assessment to be undertaken.
- Notify Social Services-Department of possibility of bail.
- Check 'doctored' peer review document placed on Mr Kirk's blog to identify what specific changes had been made and what this may suggest.
- Restore SWP Protection Plan for all potential victims if Mr Kirk is bailed.

X. 22/10/09 meeting CPS told to oppose bail to 'allow further assessment' that never happened , only fabricated in 2nd December 09 Crown Court!

Y Risk Management Plan of 23/11/2009

- Identify what stage the civil actions undertaken by MJK are at in the courts, the possible outcomes and when these decisions are likely to be made. (Both civil actions against police and against RCVS)
- Confirm with MCIT and courts the timescales for future hearings of the criminal prosecution, matters charged and possible disposals
- Share protection plan information with potential victim(s).
- Invite representation from the HMP Cardiff at the next meeting.
- Set appropriate date for next meeting. (17/12/09 4pm provisional if court proceedings and / or remand is uncertain)

Y. Is the key to all this. The civil action was their target from the very beginning. Interesting HM Prison denied twice, in writing, any knowledge of Maurice being on MAPPA, after their invite to attend the 17th December 2009 meeting in Caswell Clinic, Bridgend.

Z Risk Management Plan of 17/12/09.

- Refer to Social Services in the event of Mr Kirk being released or bailed.
- Take advice on whether Mr Kirk's litigation should / could be treated as vexatious.

Z. In their death throws and desperate attempt to stop the civil action proceeding: get MJK registered as **Vexatious Litigant!** [see leaked HM Attorney General internal memos on the subject dating back to 2003].